SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chattanooga State: TN

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$20,104,506 PJ's Size Grouping*: B PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (F | Percentile):** |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 8 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 90.88 % | 91.37 % | 3 | 91.92 % | 46 | 42 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 87.08 % | 83.06 % | 3 | 82.65 % | 68 | 63 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.16 | 1.72 | 6 | 4.67 | 3 | 3 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 91.53 % | 88.09 % | 5 | 81.09 % | 41 | 40 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 72.09 % | 76.36 % | 4 | 68.72 % | 49 | 49 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 81.08 % | 64.51 % | 6 | 79.93 % | 41 | 41 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 56.76 % | 44.07 % | 4 | 44.94 % | 77 | 72 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.92 % | 70.28 % | 5 | 94.87 % | 53 | 52 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 3 / 8 | Nation | nally: 36 | 42 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$22,500 | \$13,814 | | \$25,717 | 185 Units | 21.80 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$20,037 | \$12,401 | | \$14,669 | 256 Units | 30.10 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$26,779 | \$24,400 | | \$20,391 | 409 Units | 48.10 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$10,768 | | \$3,201 | 0 Units | 0.00 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chattanooga TN

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** \$23,622 \$41,657 \$91,120

\$83,263 \$69,689 \$73,223

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.5

\$28,812 \$32,093 \$23,149 CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ:

0.77

National Avg:

0.6 **%**

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| White: | 7.7 | 22.1 | 28.4 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 36.6 | 34.8 | 27.1 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 91.3 | 75.4 | 70.9 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 19.1 | 4.9 | 38.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 32.2 | 35.2 | 17.6 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 7.7 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 4.4 | 10.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

ETHNICITY:

Hispanic 1.1 0.8 0.2 0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.4

0.0

0.0

0.0

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

Asian and White:

Other Multi Racial:

Asian/Pacific Islander:

Black/African American and White:

American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:

| IOOOLIIOLD CILL. | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| 1 Person: | 32.8 | 32.0 | 37.9 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 34.4 | 25.4 | 23.7 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 19.1 | 20.1 | 20.8 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 9.3 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 3.8 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

 Section 8:
 29.5

 HOME TBRA:
 0.0

 Other:
 8.2

 No Assistance:
 62.3

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001

69



4.1

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Chattanooga | State: | TN | Group Rank: | 36 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | | | | (Percentile) | |

State Rank: 3 / 8 PJs Overall Rank:

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags (Percentile)

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 71.84% | 91.53 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.80% | 72.09 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 81.08 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.38% | 98.92 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.360 | 2.28 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



42

^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.